# An approach for a hierarchical system to classify and to describe soil associations

## JAHN R. (1), SCHMIDT R. (2), WITTMANN O. (3) and SPONAGEL H. (4)

- Institute of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, University Halle, Weidenplan 14, D-06108 Halle, Germany
- (2) (2)University of Applied Sciences Eberswalde, Alfred-Möller-Str. 1, D-16225 Eberswalde
- (3) Föhrenstr. 9, D-85640 Putzbrunn
- (4) Lower Saxony Geological Survey (NLfB), Stilleweg 2, D-30655 Hannover

#### Abstract

The Working Group on Soil Classification of the German Soil Science Society is now working on grasping and systematically arranging of soil associations from Germany. The presented approach is based on former work and combine the hierarchical system of soil classification (pedon, subvariety, variety, subtype, type, order, division) with the choric idea of soil geography (pedotop, nanochore, microchore, mesochore, macrochore, region) and is the base to map well defined classes of soil associations at different categories of complexity from parts of a soil association up to soil zones. According to this approach soil associations are derived inductivesynthetically from available soil maps.

Especially for practical use it is necessary to add relevant soil information to the system. In development is a broad set of modules giving the possibility to describe soil associations very detailed as a whole as well as with regard to their members. It is also possible to use some of the modules as reference modules to agglomerate or differentiate associations. Modules which characterise ecological functions or properties of association members (or the association as a whole) are more for practical use in landscape planning and soil protection. This opens also the way to transfer the data of soils to soil association maps and characterise (based on proportion by area) classification details and properties of each unit. The modules can be used theoretically in any hierarchical class of the system.

Examples from Germany, one in terms according to the FAO legend, are used to present the recent position of discussion. Attributes of SOTER or related data files can be used to organize the data of the terrain, climate and land use.

**Keywords:** soil classification, soil associations, classification system, landscape planning, soil protection

#### Introduction

For purposes of soil evaluation focused on sustainable land use and soil protection, up to date soil information is needed which includes quantitative data about the composition of soils in a heterogeneous landscape. Therefore, a system is necessary for aggregation of soil information and to classify soilscapes, or parts of it, based on rational and accepted rules. This should allow: (i) to compare objectively soilscapes of different regions, (ii) to differentiate soilscapes with different dynamics and combinations of soil-forming processes, (iii) to link the soilscapes with specific ecological properties and (iv) to evaluate soilscapes, e.g., for land-use suitability, sensitivity and carrying capacity with respect to natural and man-made influences. Soil associations are choric units of the soil cover and so far, their classification should summarise the soil assemblage as well as characteristics of their distribution in space. Soil associations are choric units of the soil cover and so far, their classification should summarise the content as well as characteristics of the distribution in space.

In comparison to systems of soil classification, the classification of soil associations is in a very early stage. After finishing the work on the "Systematik der Böden und der bodenbildenden Substrate Deutschlands" (DBG, 1998), the Working Group on Soil Classification of the German Soil Science Society is now working on grasping and systematically arranging of soil associations from Germany. The presented approach (based on the work of Haase and Schmidt, 1970; Schmidt, 1982; Blume, 1984; Wittmann, 1984, 1999; Schmidt, 1999; and others) combine the hierarchical soil classification (pedon, variety, subtype, type, order [Klasse], division [Abteilung]) with the choric idea of soil geography (pedotop, nanochore, microchore, mesochore, macrochore, region; Haase, 1968; AG Boden, 1994) and is the base to map well defined classes of soil associations at different categories of complexity from parts of a soil association up to soil zones.

#### The system

The system structures within defined land form classes soil associations in a natural way according to their relationship and therefore according to soil and landscape forming processes. Following the German system of soil classification, the association classification has also seven hierarchical categories (Table 1). At the uppermost category of soil association (divisions [Abteilung]), landscapes are differentiated according to their direction of matter-movement and related soil forming processes (Schmidt, 1999). In the slope division (inclinal-morphology) soil associations are summarised which are commonly matter-connected (catenas) by surface and subsurface transport processes (Figure 1). Boundaries are uphill boundaries of watersheds or the shoulder of a plateau. Downwards the boundary is found either at the low line or with the beginning of fluvial sediments. It seems to be necessary to have subdivisions for stair-slopes and slopes with large differences in elevation (large climatic gradients). The slope is dissected into crest, upper slope, middle slope, lower slope, footslope and cuesta-shaped planes. The depression division (infusion-morphology) combines soil associations with groundwater determined soil forming processes. The position within a depression is described with rim, centre, deep, deep and near a river and transition. In the case of very deep groundwater levels the plate division is recommended. This division combines soil associations in which vertical processes are dominant and lateral processes of secondary importance. It seems to be necessary to have subdivisons for combinations of lateral and vertical processes, e.g., a slope-plate, undulated-plate and a weak inclined plate subdivision. Positions within a plate are differentiated with rim, centre and transition. For soil associations which are influenced by tidal water the tidal littoral division is used.

JAHN ET AL.

**Table 1** Hierarchical structure and short definitions of the classification system (soil<br/>family  $\cong$  soil type or subtype or variety + substrate, substrate means the altered<br/>parent material).

Having the sa	me			(Soil) Associa	ation Division	[Abteilung]
landform	Having the sa	ame			0	rder [Klasse]
(water and	geomorphic	Having the sa	ame		Communi	ty [Verband]
transportation	unit	assemblage	Having two c	or more		Туре
regimes)		of soil types	diagnostic	Having a		Subtype
		and the same	(1. dominant	differentiatin	Having a	Variety
		substrate	2. continuity	g soil family	differentiating	Subvariety
- slope		main class	≥60 %) soil	(subtype)	texture	Having
- depression			families	with a	(subtexture	differences
- plate			(subtype +	continuity	class)	through
- tidal-			substrate	≥60%		land use
littoral			class)			(e.g. by
						erosion)
Slope-(sub)	division			4		
(inclinal-mo	rphology, matte	r connected as	sociations)			
					1	
crest						
		~777777777	>			
	ipper slope	~				
	🔪 middle slop	e	pi	ane		<u>`</u>
	lower :	slope		MMMM -		
		<del>m</del> footslope				
n	ormal		cuesta-shape	d	high eleva	ation
Depression	division					
(infusion-mo	orphology, grou	ndwater determ	nined)			
krim de	eep near	river center	<u>III.</u>			
		à china a china	Therefore			
Plate-(sub)d	livision					
and positior	าร					
transitio	n					
<u>rim</u> ce	entre			MMMmm-		mar
	//////////////////////////////////////				<i>، دار السلم</i>	
norn	nal	weak incline	d slo	ope-plate	û undulate	d plate
Tidal littara	division					
	-uivision					
	ahoya					
- Antonio - Anto						
	annananan	and the second	lower	regular tide-ra	nge	

Figure 1 Divisions and subdivisions of the system.

In the category of orders differentiating is made by 20 to 30 geomorphic units. The assemblage of soils (in soil type category) and main texture classes (skeleton, sand, silt, clay, peat) are used to differentiate between association communities. In the category of types of the system, associations can be distinguished by the occurrence of soils (at subtype category) and texture classes within the boundaries of a community by continuity of occurrence at certain landscape positions and the proportion of area. Smaller differences of texture (subtexture classes) distinguish between varieties of associations. Diagnostic soils which are used for naming the associations (max. of three soils) have a continuity  $\geq 60$  %. A high proportion of area (dominant) of one member of the soil association may be related with high continuity but is not a prior condition for diagnostic soils.

A fairly quick method to derive soil associations from paper maps is to use crosssections of soil maps 1:  $\leq 25,000$  and to estimate continuity of occurrence and the proportion in classes (Table 2).

Table 2	Used classes for	continuity	of occurrence	and proportion	of area (AG	Boden,
	1994).					

Class	continuity of occurrence	class	proportion of area
+	<10%		
Ι	10-20%	1	<10%
II	20-40%	2	10-30%
III	40-60%	3	30-70%
IV	60-80%	4	70-90%
V	>80%	5	>90%

The principle of identification and naming soil associations down to the category of varieties is shown in Table 3 with to examples from a humid area of the low- mountain region (Bavarian Forest, southeastern Germany) with a soil cover which is developed on gneiss and have only little differences in the texture of the substrates. In the category of the association community and higher, these both examples are not to distinguish. By using the subtype category in soil classification the both associations can be distinguished at the category of association type and lower.

Working with digital soil maps will allow to evaluate the soil cover more precise and to receive additional information, e.g., about the length of soil boundaries, and to use methods of landscape analysis to describe diversity, heterogeneity and complexity of the structure by statistical parameters (Sponagel *et al.*, 1999).

According to this approach soil associations are inductive-synthetically derived. Each in the classification listed association type (including subtypes, varieties and subvarieties) should be documented for different areas with uniform rules and data files.

The more abstract (and in principle independent from scales) classification system (Figure 2) is the base to represent the actual existent soil-space-organisation systematically in maps at different categories of complexity with defined rules. The basic unit (mapping unit) of large and medium scaled maps is identical with a member of a soil association of any hierarchical class.

## JAHN ET AL.

			······································	,		/	-		-		
Soil Subt	ype		Substrate	Upper	middle	Lower	ot hill	Upper	middle	ower	t hill
							Foc				foo
(Norm) S	vrosem +	(Norm) Brai	inarda Et?) anaiss	III	siope					2	
(Norm) B	grounerde I	(1101111) D1ai 3tA	vS12 gneiss		V	IV	П	111		V	п
(Norm) B	Braunerde I	∃14 ∃t∕l	vS13 gneiss	v	v				IV II	IV	T
(Norm) B	Braunerde I	∃t <b>3</b>	vS12 gneiss	IV	п	T	111		11	1 V	V
nodsolige	I ockerbr	aunerde Et3	vS12, gilciss	1 V	11	1		V	V		v
Glev-Bra	unerde	xS13	neriolacial lavers				Т	v	v		
Hangoley	-Braunerd	e xS13	periglacial layers			T	T		П	п	
(Norm) P	odsol	<b>.</b> 11515,	x4S12 gneiss			-	-	П	11		П
(Norm) P	seudoglev	Ls3	solifluction layers					+			
(Norm) C	Blev	S12-3	3. valley sediment				$\mathbf{V}$			II	
(Norm) N	Jaßgley	SI	, valley sediment				II		+		V
Anmoorg	ley	SL	, valley sediment				II				
Hanggley	1	xS13-Ls3,	periglacial layers		Ι	III	IV		III	IV	
(Norm) N	Viedermoor	r	peat				III				V
			-								II
											Ι
	variety	(Norm) B	raunerde/(Norm) Gl	ey, g	rave	ly,					
		loamy sar	nd, <u>silt poor</u> , of the sl	lopes	s of .						
	subtype	(Norm) B	raunerde/(Norm) Gl	ey, <u>g</u>	rave	<u>ly</u>					
		<u>loamy</u> sar	nd, of the slopes of								
	type	(Norm) B	raunerde/( <u>Norm</u> ) Gl	ey, s	andy	, of	the				
		slopes of	gneiss		2	ŕ					
	communit	ty of <u>Braune</u>	erde/Gley, sandy, of	the s	lope	s of .					
	order	slopes of	low-mountains with	mag	mati	c and	d				
		metamorr	hic rocks				_				
	division	of slopes									
		variety	Podsolige Lockerb	raune	erde/	Han	ggle	y, gr	avel	У,	
			loamy sand, silt po	<u>or</u> , o	f the	slop	es of	f	-		
		subtype	Podsoliger Lockert	oraur	erde	/Har	nggle	ey, g	rave	ly	
			loamy sand, of the	slope	es of	· 	00			-	
		type	Podsoliger Lockert	oraur	erde	/Har	nggle	ey, sa	andy	, of t	the
		51	slopes of gneiss					5,	5	-	
		community	of Braunerde/Gley,	sand	ly, o	f the	slop	es o	f		
		order	slopes of low-mour	ntain	s wit	h ma	agma	atic a	ind n	neta-	
			morphic rocks				<u></u>				-
		division	of slopes								
		1									

**Table 3** Examples of soil associations in southeastern Germany derived by continuity<br/>of occurrence of soil subtypes (BK 25, 6945, Zwiesel).

Characteristics which are defining within the classification categories are underlined.





Two or more associations form an association complex. The same or different complexes which are found in repetitions within a certain landscape form a soilscape. Major soilscapes are areas in which specific soilscapes, geology, landscape history and relief form a unit which is distinctly different from neighbouring units. Soil region, provinces and zones are units of usually country and continental wide small scaled maps in which climatically differentiation is getting more and more important.

## **Extensions of the System**

Especially for practical use it is necessary to add relevant information to the system. In discussion is a broad set of modules giving the possibility to describe soil associations very detailed as a whole as well as with regard to their members (Schmidt, 1999; Sponagel *et al.*, 1999). It is also possible to use some of the modules as reference modules (Schmidt, 1999) to agglomerate associations to higher categories or differentiate associations from each other or for lower categories. Modules which characterise ecological functions or properties of association members (or the association as a whole) are more for practical use in landscape planning and soil protection. This opens also the way to transfer the data to soil association maps and characterise (based on cover area of the members of an association) classification details and properties of each unit. The modules can be used theoretically in any hierarchical class of the system.

Examples of the extended description of soil associations in discussion are:

Modules to define soil associations-continuity of occurrence-proportion of area-sociological function of members-genetic function of members-genetic function of memberssource of matter fluxes, transformationtype, enrichment type, climax type

## JAHN ET AL.

• Modules to describe matter fluxes between association members -e.g., Fe from member A to B, erosion from member C to D

Modules to describe the morphology of a soil as	sociation ( <sup>1)</sup> King <i>et al.</i> , 1994)
-distribution/localisation of the members <sup>1)</sup>	random, regular, localised
-shape of the association <sup>1)</sup>	disk/including, blade, compact,
	concentric, feathered
-pattern of the members <sup>1)</sup>	simple, complex, very
	complex, boundary length/km <sup>2</sup>
-neighbouring of the members <sup>1)</sup>	simple, manifold
-boundary contrast between members <sup>1)</sup>	very sharp, sharp progressive
-diversity/heterogeneity of the association	e.g., diversity index, evenness
	index patchy richness
	(examples see Sponagel et al.,
	1999)

- Modules to describe ecological functions of members and associations -e.g., dryness, wetness, nutrient rich/poor, water storage
- Modules to describe properties of members and associations -e.g., available water capacity, pH, CEC level and ranges

The system of modules is until now very weak because of only little experiences and only rough ideas about possible and necessary definitions of classes. It is however an open system which can grow with the work on soil associations.

## **Example in terms according to the FAO-Legend**

To demonstrate how the system works with international accepted rules of soil classification (FAO-Unesco, 1994), we use an example of a well described soilscape in the Black Forest, Germany (Schweikle, 1973; Schlichting and Schweikle, 1980) under forest (see Figure 3 and Tables below). The soils have been developed at the rim of plateau on material from a clayey intercalated hematitic sandstone that was cryoturbated. Soil development generally tends to the formation of Dystric Cambisols. Due to the impermeable rock, in flat and open depression under a humid climate Planosols and Stagnic Cambisols are developed. In smaller depressions Histic horicons occur which are not deep enough to qualify for Histosols. Nevertheless, it is more likely to speak from soil complexes than from soil bodies (polypedons) for the different mapping units (Blume, 1998). A distinct translocation of Fe (and other elements) occur from the Planosols along the slope. In positions where the slope angle is increasing and oxygen availability is high, the Fe is precipitated resulting in Fe-rich, reddish brown subsoils of low bulk density which is definitely not a spodic horizon. Due to the fact that stagnant water can be observed only during very short periods these soils key out as Chromi-Dystric Cambisols.

Soli assoc					
Division:	Slope of an undulated plate				
Order:	Low mountains of Central Europe with nonemetamorphic sandstones				
Community:	Cambisol/Planosol				
Туре:	Dystric Cambisol/Umbric Planosol, sand				
Subtype:	Dystric Cambisol/Umbric Planosol/Chromi-Dystric Cambisol, sandy loam				

Soil association



Figure 3 Examples of soil associations of a soilscape at a sandstone-plateau in the Black Forest, SW-Germany (Schweikle, 1973; Schlichting and Schweikle, 1980) consisting of six soil complexes arranged in 2 soil associations at the association-type categoryTerrain data, climate and land cover (reduced to the most important data)(here the terms and classes of SOTER; FAO, 1995 are used).

## Terrain data, climate and land cover

(Reduced to the most importanat data)

(here the terms and classes of soil association of SOTER; FAO, 1995 are use)

description in the category of soil a	association	type/soilscape
minimum elevation	675	[m a. s. l.]
maximum elevation	695	[m a. s. l.]
slope gradient	3	[%]
relief intensity	32	[m/km]
major landform	SP	[plateau of dissected plain], rim,
		sloping land
regional slope	G	[gently undulating]
hypsometrie	3	[medium level]
dissection		none
general lithology	SC2	[sandstone] with clay intercalated
		hematitic sandstone, upper
		Buntsandstein, Scythian
permanent water surface		none
rain	1200	[mm]
temperature	6.5	[°C]
land use	FP	[plantation forestry]
vegetation	IIB3	[cold-deciduous woodland with
		evergreen trees] spruce forest with
		some fires and pines and a ground
		cover of Vaccinium and Spagnum

The data should be available for each member of the soil association

Module to define soil as	ssociations			
member	continuity of	proportion	sociological	genetic
	occurrence	of area	function	function of
				members
CMd Dystric Cambisols (crest)	class V	23 %	diagnostic	transformation
CMj Stagnic Cambisols	class V	10 %	associated	transformation
PLu Umbric Planosols	class V	33 %	diagnostic	source of
CMdx Chromi-Dystric Cambis.	class V	12 %	differentiating	matter fluxes enrichment and transformation
CMd Dystric Cambisol	class V	22 %	associated	transformation

## Module to describe matter fluxes between association members

Wibuui	to describe mat	ter muxes between association members	
member		matter flux	
CMd (1)			
СМј	▼ water		
PLu		Fe, Mn, DOC, Al (?)	
CMdx	▼,	▼	
CMd (2)	▼		

					, = / / /
member	localisation	shape	neighbourhood	boundary	pattern
				contrast	
CMd (1)	localised, crest	blade	simple, CMj	progressive	simple
СМј	localised, crest-	blade	manyfold,	progressive	complex
	depr.		CMd (1), PLu		
PLu	localised, open	blade	manyfold,	sharp	complex
	depression		CMj, CMdx		
CMdx	localised, below	blade	manyfold	sharp	simple
	PLu		Plu, CMd (2)		
CMd(2)	regular	blade	simple, CMdx		simple

Module to describe	the morphology	of a soil	association	(King <i>et al.</i> ,	1994)
	, the morphology	<b>UI A SUII</b>	association	(ming et at.	(エノノヨ)

## Module to describe ecological functions of members and associations

member	ecological functions
CMd (1)	nutrient poor
CMj	weak wetness, nutrient poor
PLu	strong wetness, nutrient poor, water storage
CMdx	nutrient poor
CMd (2)	nutrient poor

#### Module to describe properties of members and associations

member	Clay	OM storage	Fed	pН	CEC eff
	kg/m <sup>2 *)</sup>	kg/m <sup>2 **)</sup>	kg/m <sup>2 *)</sup>	$H_2O^{(*)}$	mol(+) *)
CMd (1)	226	n.d.	13.4	n.d.	n.d.
CMj	144	12 + 9	7.3	4.1	38
PLu	183	14 + 15	5.6	4.0	68
CMdx	237	20 + 6	12.6	4.4	24
CMd (2)	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

\*) mineral soil 1 m deep, \*\*) mineral soil 1 m deep + surface layer

### References

AG, Boden. 1994. Bodenkundliche Kartieranleitung. 4. Aufl. Hannover. 392 S.

Blume, H.P. 1984. Definition, abgrenzung und benennung von bodenlandschaften. Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkundl. Gesellsch. 40:169-176.

Blume, H.P. 1998. Bodenverbreitung. *In* Scheffer/Schachtschabel. Lehrbuch der Bodenkunde. Enke, Stuttgart. 459 p.

DBG. 1998. Systematik der Böden und der bodenbildenden substrate deutschlands. Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkundl. Gesellsch. 86:180.

FAO-Unesco. 1994. Soil Map of the World. Revised legend with corrections. World Soil Resources Report 60. Reprint with corrections, published by ISRIC.

FAO. 1995. Global and National Soils and Terrain Digital Databases (SOTER) Procedures Manual. World Soil Resources Report 74, Rev. 1. 125 p.

- Haase, G. and R. Schmidt. 1970. Die Struktur der Bodendecke und ihre Kennzeichnung. Albrecht Thaer Archiv 14:399-422.
- Haase, G. 1968. Pedon und Pedotop-Bemerkungen zu Grundfragen der regionalen Bodengeographie. Landschaftsforschung, Erg. Heft 271 zu Petermanns Geographische Mitteilungen, Gotha. pp. 57-76.
- King, D., M. Jamagne, J. Chretien and R. Hardy. 1994. Soil-space organization model and soil functionig units in geographic information systems. 15 th World Congress of Soil Science, Acapulco Mexico, Transactions. 6a:743-757.
- Schmidt, R. 1982. Die Struktur der Bodendecke der Grundmoränengebiete der DDR. Petermanns Geographische Mitteilungen. 126(3):153-170.
- Schmidt, R. 1999. Klassifikation von Bodengesellschaften. *In* Blume *et al.* (Hrsg.). Handbuch der Bodenkunde; 3.4.3.7 Erg. Lieferung. 12(99):1-18.
- Schweikle, V. 1973. Die Stellung der Stagnogleye in der Bodengesell-schaft der Schwarz-wald-hochfläche. In E. Schlichting and U. Schwertmann (eds.). Pseudogley & Gley. Verlag Chemie. pp. 181-186.
- Schlichting, E. and V. Schweikle. 1980. Interpedon Translocations and Soil Classification. Soil Science 130(4):200-204.
- Sponagel, H., E. Gehrt, M. Fuchs, M. Sommer und J. Böhner. 1999. Bodenarealabgrenzung-Parameter zur Ableitung von Bodengesellschaften. Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkundl. Gesellsch. 91(II):1108-1111.
- Wittmann, O. 1984. Zur Abgrenzung und Gliederung von Bodengesellschafts-einheiten, dargestellt an Beispielen aus dem Tertiärhügelland. Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkundl. Gesellsch. 40:239-248.
- Wittmann, O. 1999. Zur Bodengesellschaftssystematik-Bericht zum Stand der Diskussion. Mitt. Dtsch. Bodenkundl. Gesellsch. 91:1152-1155.